Dock 95.43

## Heron, Burchette, Ruckert & Rothwell

Austin, Texas Sacramento, California Phoenix, Arizona Mesa, Arizona Omaha, Nebraska

Suite 700 1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, N.W. P.O. Box 96670 Washington, D.C. 20090

Lincoln, Nebraska Rapid City, South Dakota Denver, Colorado Moscow, U.S.S.R.

(202) 337-7700 TWX 710-822-9270 FAX (202) 898-7723 Colorado Springs, Colorado

November 6, 1989

MESS SUREAU

By: Hand Delivery

Charles G. Schott, Esq. Office of the Chairman Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Re: A.C. Nielsen's Request for Special Temporary Authority to Use Line 22 of the Active Video Signal; PN No. DA 89-1060

Dear Mr. Schott:

This letter is written on behalf of A.C. Nielsen Company ("Nielsen") to underscore, once again, the need for the Commission's immediate review and grant of Nielsen's August 14, 1989 Request for Special Temporary Authority, and/or Nielsen's July 19, 1989 Request for Permissive Authority.

As Nielsen and other members of the broadcast industry have stated repeatedly, 1/ Nielsen's requests for authority, allowing broadcast licensees' use of line 22 to transmit Nielsen's SID Codes, must be granted so that Nielsen may provide to independent syndicated program producers the more accurate ratings they require. It was principally to be able to provide these ratings at the critical beginning of the current television season (when decisions regarding a program's survival and advertising rates are being made) that Nielsen filed its request for Permissive Authority almost two months before the start of the new season,

No. of Copies rec'd LETABODE

 $<sup>^{1/}</sup>$ See letters dated September 5 and 19 to Mr. Alex D. Felker from Paramount Pictures Corporation; letter dated September 5 to Mr. Alex B. Felker from Warner Brothers Domestic Television Distribution; and letter dated September 7 to Mr. Alfred C. Sikes from Warner Brothers Domestic Television Distribution.

Charles G. Schott, Esq. November 6, 1989 Page 2

providing time well in excess of that required by the Commission in the past to review and grant virtually identical requests.<sup>2/</sup>

Notwithstanding repeated calls for timely review of Nielsen's requests, this matter has been pending before the Commission for almost four months. During that time, Nielsen has responded to each Commission request for further information; has replied to each claim made by Airtrax and other competitors; has filed numerous comments and other documents; has met upon request with the Commission's staff; and generally has attempted to take whatever steps were requested by the Commission to obtain a timely review of Nielsen's proposals. In spite of these efforts, the Commission has failed to act on Nielsen's Requests or the industry's expression of concern, and has failed even to express any basis whatsoever for its delay.

Nielsen again asks the Commission to grant promptly
Nielsen's STA Request. We understand that the Commission's staff
has recommended the granting of such temporary authorization over
two months ago, authorization which would at least allow Nielsen
to test its proposal and provide to the syndicated programming
industry the ratings information it requires while the Commission
considers its decision on Nielsen's Request for permanent
Permissive Authority. Granting of such an STA would neither
undermine the Commission's review process, nor adversely affect
broadcast service or interfere with the provision of other
services on line 22 that might be demanded by the marketplace.
Further delay in the consideration of Nielsen's STA Request as
the current television season unfolds, on the other hand,
effectively denies that Request and denies syndicated programmers
more accurate and reliable ratings.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2/</sup>For example, Airtrax received permissive authority to use line 22 only six days after filing its completed request for that authority. See Nielsen's "Comments" in this proceeding at 2, note 3.

<sup>3/</sup>See, e.g., Letter from the undersigned to Mr. Alex D. Felker, dated July 19, 1989; Letter from the undersigned to Bradley P. Holmes, Esq., dated August 11, 1989; Letter from the undersigned to Mr. Alex D. Felker, dated August 14, 1989; Nielsen's Reply to Opposition, filed on August 21, 1989; Letter from the undersigned to Mr. Alex D. Felker, dated August 29, 1989; Letter from the undersigned to Mr. Alfred C. Sikes,dated September 11, 1989; Comments filed by Nielsen on September 22, 1989; and Reply Comments filed by Nielsen on October 2, 1989.

Charles G. Schott, Esq. November 6, 1989 Page 3

As always, please refer any questions regarding this matter to the undersigned.

Sincerely,

Grier C. Raclin

cc: All Parties on Attached List.

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Arlene F Lacki, a secretary in the law firm of Heron,
Burchette, Ruckert & Rothwell, do hereby certify that I have on
this 6th day of November, 1989, caused copies of the foregoing
to be hand-delivered to the following:

\*John C. Johnson, Jr. Bryan, Cave, McPheeters & McRoberts 1015 Fifteenth Street. N. W. Suite 1000

\*Bruce H. Turnbull, Esq. Weil, Gotshal & Manges 1615 L Street, N.W. Washington, D.C 20036

\*The Honorable Alfred Sikes Chairman, Federal Communications Commission 1919 M St., N.W. Room 814

\*The Honorable James H. Quello Member, Federal Communications Commission 1919 M St., N.W. Room 802

\*The Honorable Sherrie Marshall Member Federal Communications Commission 1919 M St., N.W. Room 844

\*The Honorable Andrew Barrett Member Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, Northwest Room 826

\*Roy J. Stewart Chief Mass Media Bureau Federal Communications Commission 1919 M St., N.W. Room 314 \*Stephen F. Sewell. Esquire Assistant Chief Video Services Division Mass Media Bureau Federal Communications Commission 1919 M. Street Northwest Room 102

\*Clay C. Pendarvis, Esquire Chief: Television Branch Video Services Division Mass Media Bureau Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, Northwest Room 100

\*Mr. Gordon Godfrey Television Branch Video Services Division Mass Media Bureau Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, Northwest Room 700

\*Bradley P. Holmes, Esquire Chief. Policy and Rules Division Mass Media Bureau Federal Communications Commission 2025 M Street, Northwest Room 8010

\*Mr. James McNally Chief Engineering Policy Branch Policy and Rules Division Mass Media Bureau Federal Communications Commission 2025 M Street, Northwest Room 8112

\*Mr. Bernard Gorden
Engineering Policy Branch
Policy and Rules Division
Mass Media Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
2025 M Street, Northwest
Room 8114

\*HAND DELIVERY

Ar Mene Lacki